A note on the positive semidefinitness of $A_\alpha(G)$

Vladimir Nikiforov* and Oscar Rojo†

arXiv:1611.01818v1 [math.CO] 6 Nov 2016

Abstract

Let G be a graph with adjacency matrix $A(G)$ and let $D(G)$ be the diagonal matrix of the degrees of G. For every real $\alpha \in [0,1]$, write $A_\alpha(G)$ for the matrix

$$A_\alpha(G) = \alpha D(G) + (1-\alpha)A(G).$$

Let $\alpha_0(G)$ be the smallest α for which $A_\alpha(G)$ is positive semidefinite. It is known that $\alpha_0(G) \le 1/2$. The main results of this paper are:

(1) if G is d-regular then

$$\alpha_0 = \frac{-\lambda_{\min}(A(G))}{d - \lambda_{\min}(A(G))},$$

where $\lambda_{\min}(A(G))$ is the smallest eigenvalue of $A(G)$;

(2) G contains a bipartite component if and only if $\alpha_0(G) = 1/2$;

(3) if G is r-colorable, then $\alpha_0(G) \ge 1/r$.

AMS classification: *05C50, 15A48*

Keywords: *convex combination of matrices; signless Laplacian; adjacency matrix; bipartite graph; positive semidefinite matrix; chromatic number.*

1 Introduction

Let G be a graph with adjacency matrix $A(G)$, and let $D(G)$ be the diagonal matrix of its vertex degrees. In [6], it was proposed to study the family of matrices $A_\alpha(G)$ defined for any real $\alpha \in [0,1]$ as

$$A_\alpha(G) = \alpha D(G) + (1-\alpha)A(G).$$

Since $A_0(G) = A(G)$ and $2A_{1/2}(G) = Q(G)$, where $Q(G)$ is the signless Laplacian of G, the matrices A_a can help to study subtle relations between $A(G)$ and $Q(G)$.

A major distinction between $Q(G)$ and $A(G)$ is the fact that $Q(G)$ is positive semidefinite, whereas $A(G)$ is not, except if G is empty. Thus, given G, it is natural to ask for which $\alpha \in [0,1]$ is $A_\alpha(G)$ positive semidefinite. To further discuss this question we need the following notation:

*Department of Mathematical Sciences, University of Memphis, Memphis TN 38152, USA.

†Department of Mathematics, Universidad Católica del Norte, Antofagasta, Chile.

given a square matrix M with real eigenvalues, we write $\lambda(M)$ and $\lambda_{\min}(M)$ for the largest and the smallest eigenvalues of M.

In [6], some general results on the matrices $A_\alpha(G)$ have been proved. In particular, if $1 \geq \alpha > \beta \geq 0$, observe that

$$A_\alpha(G) - A_\beta(G) = (\alpha - \beta) L(G),$$

where $L(G) = D(G) - A(G)$ is the Laplacian of G. Hence, using Weyl's inequalities (see Theorem W below), one can get the following propositions:

Proposition 1 *Let $1 \geq \alpha > \beta \geq 0$. If G is a graph of order n with $A_\alpha(G) = A_\alpha$, $A_\beta(G) = A_\beta$, and $L(G) = L$, then*

$$(\alpha - \beta)\lambda(L) > \lambda_{\min}(A_\alpha) - \lambda_{\min}(A_\beta) \geq 0. \tag{1}$$

If G is connected, then the right inequality in (1) is strict.

Proposition 2 *If $\alpha \geq 1/2$, then $A_\alpha(G)$ is positive semidefinite. If $\alpha > 1/2$ and G has no isolated vertices, then $A_\alpha(G)$ is positive definite.*

In particular, inequalities (1) imply that for every G, the function $f_G(\alpha) := \lambda_{\min}(A_\alpha(G))$ is continuous and nondecreasing in α. Thus, there is a smallest value $\alpha \in [0,1]$ such that $\lambda_{\min}(A_\alpha(G)) = 0$. Denote this value by $\alpha_0(G)$ and note that $A_\alpha(G)$ is positive semidefinite if and only if $\alpha_0(G) \leq \alpha \leq 1$.

Having $\alpha_0(G)$ in hand, we restate a problem that has been raised in [6]:

Problem 3 *Given a graph G, find $\alpha_0(G)$.*

This problem seems difficult in general, but is worth studying, because $\alpha_0(G)$ relates to various structural parameters of G. In this paper, we find $\alpha_0(G)$ if G is regular or G contains a bipartite component. We also give a lower bound on $\alpha_0(G)$ of r-colorable graphs.

Let us start by observing a general property of $\alpha_0(G)$ that helps to extend results from connected to general graphs:

Proposition 4 *If G is a disconnected graph, then*

$$\alpha_0(G) = \max\{\alpha_0(H) : H \text{ is a component of } G\}.$$

Studying the matrices $A_\alpha(G)$ is particularly easy if G is regular; e.g., we can calculate $\alpha_0(G)$ as follows:

Proposition 5 *If G is a d-regular graph, then*

$$\alpha_0(G) = \frac{-\lambda_{\min}(A(G))}{d - \lambda_{\min}(A(G))}.$$

Proof Obviously, if G is d-regular of order n, then

$$A_\alpha(G) = \alpha d I_n + (1-\alpha)A(G),$$

where I_n is the identity matrix of order n. Hence, we see that

$$\lambda_{\min}(A_\alpha(G)) = \alpha d + (1-\alpha)\lambda_{\min}(A(G)).$$

The right side of this identity increases with α, so $\alpha_0(G)$ is the unique solution of the equation

$$\alpha d + (1-\alpha)\lambda_{\min}(A(G)) = 0,$$

which gives the required identity. □

2 Bipartite graphs

The main result in this section is Corollary 7 below, which characterizes all graphs with $\alpha_0(G) = 1/2$. First, we consider the case of connected graphs:

Proposition 6 *A connected graph G is bipartite, if and only if $\alpha_0(G) = 1/2$.*

Proof Since G is connected, Proposition 1 implies that $\lambda_{\min}(A_\alpha(G))$ is increasing in α; hence $\lambda_{\min}(A_\alpha(G)) = 0$ if and only if $\alpha = \alpha_0(G)$. Next, recall that a connected graph G is bipartite if and only if $\lambda_{\min}(Q(G)) = 0$, that is, $\lambda_{\min}(A_{1/2}(G)) = 0$. Therefore G is bipartite if and only if $\alpha_0(G) = 1/2$. □

Using Proposition 4, we extend Proposition 6 to all nonempty graphs, i.e., graphs containing edges:

Corollary 7 *If G is a nonempty graph, then $\alpha_0(G) = 1/2$ if and only if G has a bipartite component.*

Next, we give explicit upper bounds on $\alpha_0(G)$ if G has no bipartite components. To this end, recall a simplified version of Weyl's inequalities for the eigenvalues of Hermitian matrices (see, e.g. [4], p. 181):

Theorem W *Let A and B be Hermitian matrices of order n, and let their eigenvalues be indexed in descending order. If $1 \le k \le n$, then*

$$\lambda_k(A) + \lambda_n(B) \le \lambda_k(A+B) \le \lambda_k(A) + \lambda_1(B). \tag{2}$$

Returning to our goal, suppose that $n \ge 3$ and let E_n denote the graph on n vertices obtained by identifying a vertex of a triangle with an endvertex of P_{n-2}, the path of order $n-2$.

Proposition 8 *If G is a connected nonbipartite graph of order n, then*

$$\alpha_0(G) \leq \frac{-\lambda_{\min}(A(G))}{\lambda_{\min}(Q(G)) - 2\lambda_{\min}(A(G))} < \frac{\sqrt{\lfloor n/2 \rfloor \lceil n/2 \rceil}}{\frac{1}{12n^2} + 2\sqrt{\lfloor n/2 \rfloor \lceil n/2 \rceil}}. \tag{3}$$

Proof Let $\alpha \leq 1/2$. Applying Weyl's inequality (2) to the identity

$$A_\alpha(G) = \alpha Q(G) + (1-2\alpha)A(G),$$

we obtain

$$\lambda_{\min}(A_\alpha(G)) \geq \alpha\lambda_{\min}(Q(G)) + (1-2\alpha)\lambda_{\min}(A(G)).$$

Hence, if

$$1/2 \geq \alpha \geq \frac{-\lambda_{\min}(A(G))}{\lambda_{\min}(Q(G)) - 2\lambda_{\min}(A(G))},$$

then

$$\lambda_{\min}(A_\alpha(G)) \geq \alpha\lambda_{\min}(Q(G)) + (1-2\alpha)\lambda_{\min}(A(G)) \geq 0,$$

implying that

$$\alpha_0(G) \leq \frac{-\lambda_{\min}(A(G))}{\lambda_{\min}(Q(G)) - 2\lambda_{\min}(A(G))}. \tag{4}$$

To prove the second inequality in (3), recall a result of Constatine [2]: *if G is a graph of order n, then $\lambda_{\min}(A(G)) > -\sqrt{\lfloor n/2 \rfloor \lceil n/2 \rceil}$, unless G is the complete bipartite graph $K_{\lfloor n/2 \rfloor \lceil n/2 \rceil}$.*

Similarly, in [1], Cardoso et al. have proved that if G is a connected nonbipartite graph of order n, then $\lambda_{\min}(Q(G)) > \lambda_{\min}(Q(E_n))$, unless $G = E_n$.

Now the second inequality in (3) follows by combining these two results with the bound $\lambda_{\min}(Q(E_n)) \geq n^{-2}/12$, which has been proved in [3]. □

Using Proposition 4, we extend Proposition 8 to arbitrary graphs as follows:

Proposition 9 *If G is a graph of order n and has no bipartite components, then*

$$\alpha_0(G) \leq \frac{-\lambda_{\min}(A(G))}{\lambda_{\min}(Q(G)) - 2\lambda_{\min}(A(G))} < \frac{\sqrt{\lfloor n/2 \rfloor \lceil n/2 \rceil}}{\frac{1}{12n^2} + 2\sqrt{\lfloor n/2 \rfloor \lceil n/2 \rceil}}.$$

We omit the details of the proof, which is based on the facts that $n^{-2}/12$ is decreasing in n and that

$$\frac{x}{c+2x}$$

is increasing in x if $c > 0$ and $x > 0$.

3 The chromatic number and $\lambda_{\min}\left(A_\alpha\left(G\right)\right)$

Connections between $\alpha_0\left(G\right)$ and the chromatic number of G exist also for nonbipartite graphs. We state one such relation in Corollary 11 below, which is deduced from a more general result, stated in Theorem 10.

Recall that in [5], it has been shown that if G is a graph of order n, size m, and chromatic number χ, then

$$\lambda_{\min}\left(Q\left(G\right)\right) \leq \frac{\left(\chi-2\right)2m}{\left(\chi-1\right)n}.$$

It turns out that this bound can be extended to all matrices $A_\alpha\left(G\right)$ as follows:

Theorem 10 *Let G be a graph of order n, size m, and chromatic number χ. If $\alpha \in [0,1]$, then*

$$\lambda_{\min}\left(A_\alpha\left(G\right)\right) \leq \frac{\left(\alpha\chi-1\right)2m}{\left(\chi-1\right)n}. \tag{5}$$

Proof Suppose that $\alpha \in [0,1]$, and set $A_\alpha := A_\alpha\left(G\right)$ and $\lambda_{\min} := \lambda_n\left(A_\alpha\right)$. Let $V := V\left(G\right)$ and suppose that $V_1,\ldots,V_\chi$ are the color classes of G. For every $k \in \{1,\ldots,\chi\}$, set

$$e_k = \sum_{u\in V_k} d\left(u\right).$$

Our main goal is to prove that for every $k \in \{1,\ldots,\chi\}$,

$$\lambda_{\min}\left(A_\alpha\right)\left(\chi\left(\chi-2\right)\left|V_k\right|+n\right) \leq 2m+\left(\alpha\chi-2\right)\chi e_k. \tag{6}$$

To this end, take an integer $k \in \{1,\ldots,\chi\}$ and define a vector $\mathbf{x} := \left(x_1,\ldots,x_n\right)$ by

$$x_i := \begin{cases} \chi-1, & \text{if } i \in V_k; \\ -1, & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Calculating the quadratic form $\left\langle A_\alpha\mathbf{x},\mathbf{x}\right\rangle$ for the vector $\mathbf{x}$, we get

$$\left\langle A_\alpha\mathbf{x},\mathbf{x}\right\rangle = \alpha\sum_{u\in V} x_u^2 d\left(u\right)+2\left(1-\alpha\right)\sum_{\{u,v\}\in E(G)} x_u x_v. \tag{7}$$

To find the first term in the right side of (7), note that

$$\begin{aligned}\sum_{u\in V} x_u^2 d\left(u\right) &= \sum_{u\in V\setminus V_k} d\left(u\right)+\sum_{u\in V_k}\left(\chi-1\right)^2 d\left(u\right)\\ &= 2m+\chi\left(\chi-2\right)e_k.\end{aligned}$$

Similarly, to find the second term in the right side of (7), note that

$$\begin{aligned}\sum_{\{u,v\}\in E(G)} x_u x_v &= \sum_{\{u,v\}\in E(G),u\in V_k,v\notin V_k} x_u x_v+\sum_{\{u,v\}\in E(G),u\notin V_k,v\notin V_k} x_u x_v\\ &= \left(1-\chi\right)e_k+\left(m-e_k\right) = m-\chi e_k.\end{aligned}$$

Combining these two identities, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}\langle A_\alpha \mathbf{x}, \mathbf{x}\rangle &= \alpha\left(2m + \chi\left(\chi-2\right)e_k\right) + 2\left(1-\alpha\right)\left(m - \chi e_k\right)\\ &= 2m + \left(\alpha\chi - 2\right)\chi e_k.\end{aligned}$$

On the other hand, Rayleigh's principle implies that

$$\lambda_{\min}\left(A_\alpha\right)\|\mathbf{x}\|^2 \leq \langle A_\alpha \mathbf{x}, \mathbf{x}\rangle.$$

Hence, we complete the proof of (6) by noting that

$$\|\mathbf{x}\|^2 = \left(\chi-1\right)^2|V_k| + \left(n - |V_k|\right) = \chi\left(\chi-2\right)|V_k| + n.$$

Finally, adding inequalities (6) for all $k \in \{1,\ldots,\chi\}$, we get

$$\lambda_{\min}\sum_{k=1}^{\chi}\left(\chi\left(\chi-2\right)|V_k| + n\right) \leq \sum_{k=1}^{\chi}\left(2m + \left(\alpha\chi-2\right)\chi e_k\right),$$

implying that

$$\lambda_{\min}\left(\chi\left(\chi-2\right)n + \chi n\right) \leq 2\chi m + 2\left(\alpha\chi-2\right)\chi m.$$

Now inequality (5) follows by simple algebra. □

Corollary 11 *If G is an r-colorable graph, then $\alpha_0(G) \geq 1/r$.*[1]

Both Theorem 10 and Corollary 11 are tight. Indeed, let G be a complete regular r-partite graph. Writing n and m for the order and the size of G, it is not hard to see that

$$\lambda_{\min}\left(A_\alpha\left(G\right)\right) = \alpha\frac{\left(r-1\right)n}{r} - \left(1-\alpha\right)\frac{n}{r} = \frac{\left(\alpha r-1\right)2m}{\left(r-1\right)n},$$

which implies that $\alpha_0(G) = 1/r$.

References

[1] D.M. Cardoso, D. Cvetković, P. Rowlinson, and S.K. Simić, A sharp lower bound for the least eigenvalue of the signless Laplacian of a non-bipartite graph, *Linear Algebra Appl.* **429** (2008), 2770–2780.

[2] G. Constantine, Lower Bounds on the spectra of symmetric matrices with nonnegative entries, *Linear Algebra Appl.* **65** (1985), 171–178.

[1] Note that Corollary 11 has been proved for regular graphs in [6].

[3] D. Cvetković, P. Rowlinson, and S. K. Simić, Eigenvalue bounds for the signless Laplacian, *Publ. Inst. Math. (Beograd) (N.S.)* **81(95)** (2007), 11–27.

[4] R. Horn and C. Johnson, *Matrix Analysis,* Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1985, xiii+561 pp.

[5] L.S. de Lima, C.S. Oliveira, N.M.M. de Abreu, and V. Nikiforov, The smallest eigenvalue of the signless Laplacian, *Linear Algebra Appl.* **435** (2011), 2570–2584.

[6] V. Nikiforov, Merging the A- and Q-spectral theories, 2016, *preprint available at arXiv:1607.03015*.